

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/802,242	Applicant(s) EWING, STEPHAN C.	
	Examiner Ruth C. Rodriguez	Art Unit 3677	

All Participants:

(1) Ruth C. Rodriguez, PTO.

(2) James F.. Kirk, Applicant's representative.

Status of Application: ____

(3) ____.

(4) ____.

Date of Interview: 5 January 2006

Time: ____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

1-11 and 16-20

Prior art documents discussed:

None

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

The Examiner called Mr. Kirk because the election made by the Applicant to the restriction requirement failed to include a selection of the Sub-species to be examined. Mr. Kirk analyzed the application and elected Sub-species I.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


ROBERT J. SANDY
PRIMARY EXAMINER

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)